ISSN: 2614-6754 (print)
ISSN: 2614-3097(online)

Halaman 11213-11221
Volume 8 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

Improving Language Learning: Integrating Project-Based Learning in ESP Classes Through Action Research

Karisma Erikson Tarigan[1], Aldyan Okuli Alberdsius Sidabungke[2]

[1,2]Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas

e-mail: aldyanokuli@gmail.com

Abstrak

Studi penelitian tindakan ini mengeksplorasi penerapan Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek (PBL) di kelas Bahasa Inggris untuk Tujuan Khusus (ESP), yang bertujuan untuk meningkatkan pengalaman dan hasil belajar bahasa siswa. Melalui siklus berulang perencanaan, tindakan, observasi, dan refleksi, peneliti secara sistematis menyelidiki efektivitas pengintegrasian metodologi PBL ke dalam pengajaran ESP. Studi ini berlangsung dalam tiga siklus, masing-masing berfokus pada tujuan tertentu, termasuk perencanaan proyek, analisis kebutuhan, pengembangan materi, dan revisi. Memanfaatkan platform digital seperti Zoom Meeting, siswa berkolaborasi untuk mengidentifikasi kebutuhan bahasa audiens target mereka dan mengembangkan materi ESP yang disesuaikan. Penelitian ini menyoroti tantangan dan peluang yang melekat dalam integrasi PBL, termasuk distribusi beban kerja, mekanisme umpan balik, dan integrasi teknologi. Strategi untuk mengatasi tantangan ini menekankan komunikasi yang jelas, partisipasi yang adil, dan memanfaatkan teknologi untuk memfasilitasi kolaborasi. Temuan ini menggarisbawahi peran penting kolaborasi siswa dalam mendorong keberhasilan proyek, sekaligus menyoroti pentingnya refleksi dan evaluasi berkelanjutan dalam menyempurnakan praktik pembelajaran. Pada akhirnya, penelitian ini berkontribusi pada pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang metodologi pengajaran yang efektif dalam konteks ESP dan memberikan wawasan berharga bagi para pendidik yang ingin meningkatkan pembelajaran bahasa melalui pendekatan pedagogi yang inovatif.

Kata kunci: *Project-Based Learning (PBL), English for Specific Purposes (ESP), Penelitian Tindakan*

Abstract

This action research study explores the implementation of Project-Based Learning (PBL) within English for Specific Purposes (ESP) classrooms, aiming to enhance students' language learning experiences and outcomes. Through iterative cycles of planning, action, observation, and reflection, the researcher systematically investigates the effectiveness of integrating PBL methodologies into ESP instruction. The study unfolds over three cycles, each focusing on specific objectives, including project planning, needs analysis, materials

development, and revision. Utilizing digital platforms such as Zoom meetings, students collaborate to identify the language needs of their target audience and develop tailored ESP materials. The research highlights the challenges and opportunities inherent in the integration of PBL, including workload distribution, feedback mechanisms, and technological integration. Strategies to address these challenges emphasize clear communication, equitable participation, and leveraging technology to facilitate collaboration. The findings underscore the pivotal role of student collaboration in driving project success, while also highlighting the importance of ongoing reflection and evaluation in refining instructional practices. Ultimately, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of effective teaching methodologies in ESP contexts and provides valuable insights for educators seeking to enhance language learning through innovative pedagogical approaches.

Keywords: *Project-Based Learning (PBL), English for Specific Purposes (ESP), Action Research*

INTRODUCTION

In the English Education Department, students have the opportunity to enroll in an Extrasensory Perception (ESP) course, aimed at deepening their comprehension of ESP concepts and enhancing their creative capacities. This course tailors its programs and materials to suit the specific backgrounds and professional needs of students, including those in fields such as agriculture, medicine, economics, culinary arts, and more. ESP essentially customizes language learning to match the demands of various professions.

Unlike traditional General English courses, ESP adopts a learner-centered approach, wherein the curriculum and methods are tailored to address the unique requirements of the students. This personalized approach is characterized by predefined objectives aimed at expediting the learning process. The key distinction between ESP and General English lies in the adaptation of language instruction to cater to specific disciplinary contexts and professional domains (Basturkmen, 2019).

Effectively teaching ESP necessitates integrating strategies and activities from diverse fields, focusing on the language, grammar, discourse, and genres relevant to particular disciplines. Unlike conventional English instruction, ESP emphasizes practical language usage in academic, occupational, or professional settings, aligning with learners' specific needs and goals. The segmentation of ESP into categories such as English for Science and Technology, Business and Economics, and Social Sciences further underscores its targeted approach.

ESP courses cater to students' target needs, which encompass their essential requirements for effective communication within their respective fields. These needs are distinguished by what students must know to succeed in their specific contexts, as well as any gaps in their understanding. Moreover, ESP addresses students' learning needs by aligning instruction with their personal objectives and aspirations.

The instructional landscape for ESP has evolved significantly, particularly with the shift to online delivery amidst the pandemic. While online platforms offer flexibility, they also present challenges in fostering meaningful instructor-student interactions. Students are

encouraged to engage independently with course materials and seek additional resources beyond formal instruction. However, enhancing the quality of online ESP education, both synchronously and asynchronously, remains a priority despite these challenges (Mačianskienė & Bijeikienė, 2018).

In response to the current educational landscape, Project-Based Learning (PBL) emerges as a viable approach to foster independent learning and enhance student motivation. PBL encourages student autonomy, inquiry-based learning, collaboration, and reflection within real-world contexts. This student-centered approach not only promotes active engagement but also cultivates a dynamic classroom environment conducive to meaningful learning experiences.

By embracing Project-Based Learning, educators can empower students to take ownership of their learning journey and actively pursue knowledge acquisition. This methodology encourages students to seek answers independently, fostering a culture of self-directed learning. Implementing PBL involves a structured process that fosters collaboration between teachers, students, and learning resources, thereby aligning with the core principles of this innovative educational approach.

Furthermore, Project-Based Learning (PBL) is not just a theoretical concept but a proven educational strategy that has been extensively studied and implemented across various educational levels, from primary to higher education. Its effectiveness lies in its ability to engage students in meaningful, hands-on learning experiences that mirror real-world challenges and scenarios (Tao & Gao, 2018).

In the context of ESP, adopting a PBL approach can have numerous benefits. Firstly, it encourages students to apply their language skills in practical contexts relevant to their field of study or profession. Whether it's conducting research, solving problems, or collaborating on projects, students actively use language to communicate, negotiate, and present their findings, thereby reinforcing language acquisition and proficiency.

Secondly, PBL fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills, which are essential for success in any academic or professional setting. By grappling with authentic challenges and working collaboratively to find solutions, students develop the ability to analyze information, think creatively, and make informed decisions—all of which are invaluable skills in today's complex and rapidly changing world.

Moreover, PBL promotes interdisciplinary learning by integrating content and skills from various disciplines. In an ESP context, this means incorporating not only language instruction but also relevant subject matter from fields such as science, technology, business, or social sciences. By connecting language learning to real-world content, PBL helps students see the relevance and applicability of their language skills in their chosen field.

Additionally, PBL encourages student autonomy and ownership of learning, as students take responsibility for setting goals, planning and executing projects, and evaluating their own progress. This sense of ownership and agency fosters intrinsic motivation and a deeper level of engagement with the material, leading to more meaningful learning outcomes (Mahasneh, 2018).

In sum, Project-Based Learning offers a promising approach to enhancing ESP instruction by providing students with authentic, relevant, and engaging learning experiences

that promote language acquisition, critical thinking, problem-solving, interdisciplinary learning, and student autonomy. By embracing PBL, educators can create dynamic and interactive learning environments that empower students to succeed in their academic and professional endeavors.

METHOD

Through the adoption of the Kemmis and McTaggart model, this action research sought to establish a structured framework for investigating and improving the effectiveness of ESP instruction. The deliberate inclusion of multiple cycles allowed for a thorough exploration of the teaching-learning process, enabling researchers to iteratively refine instructional strategies based on empirical evidence gathered from each cycle's observations and reflections.

The planning stage served as the foundation for the subsequent actions taken within each cycle. During this phase, researchers meticulously outlined the objectives of the intervention, identified appropriate instructional materials and methodologies, and devised strategies for implementation. By carefully articulating the goals and parameters of the research, educators could ensure alignment between the proposed interventions and the desired learning outcomes (Moch et al., 2016).

Following the planning phase, researchers transitioned to the action stage, where they implemented the devised instructional strategies in the classroom setting. This phase involved the active engagement of students in targeted learning activities designed to enhance their understanding of ESP concepts and their ability to apply language skills in relevant contexts. Researchers facilitated these activities, providing guidance and support as needed to ensure students' comprehension and participation.

The observation stage played a critical role in the research process, as it enabled researchers to systematically assess the impact of the implemented interventions on student learning outcomes. Through careful observation of students' behaviors, interactions, and academic performance, researchers gained valuable insights into the effectiveness of the instructional strategies employed. These observations were documented rigorously, allowing researchers to identify patterns, trends, and areas of improvement for subsequent cycles (Hairon, 2017).

The reflection stage served as a pivotal moment for researchers to critically analyze the outcomes of the intervention and reflect on their implications for future practice. Researchers engaged in thoughtful discussions and analyses of the data collected during the observation stage, identifying strengths, weaknesses, challenges, and opportunities for refinement. This reflective process fostered continuous improvement, as researchers iteratively adjusted their instructional approaches based on the insights gained from each cycle.

By iteratively cycling through these four stages—planning, action, observation, and reflection—researchers were able to systematically investigate and refine their approach to ESP instruction. This iterative process not only facilitated the identification of effective teaching practices but also contributed to the ongoing development and advancement of pedagogical methodologies in language education. Through their commitment to evidence-

based inquiry and continuous improvement, researchers demonstrated a dedication to enhancing the quality and efficacy of ESP instruction for the benefit of students and educators alike (Seid & Teklay, 2018).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The research methodology employed in this study is action research, which involves iterative cycles of planning, action, observation, and reflection. This approach is particularly beneficial in educational settings as it allows for systematic exploration and improvement of teaching practices based on real-time feedback and data analysis. By conducting the study over three cycles, the researcher could delve deeper into the implementation of Project-Based Learning (PBL) in an ESP class, identifying challenges and refining strategies to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes.

In the first cycle, the planning phase focuses on preparing lesson plans and materials for the PBL project. This involves defining the project's objectives, selecting appropriate instructional materials, and outlining the activities to be carried out by students. The action phase involves introducing the project to students and forming groups to conduct needs analyses for targeted students. Through Zoom meetings and group discussions, students collaborate to identify the specific needs of their target audience, such as English for culinary students or business students. This phase sets the foundation for the subsequent development of ESP materials tailored to meet the identified needs.

During the observation stage of the first cycle, the researcher and their team monitor students' progress in conducting needs analyses and collecting relevant data. This includes assessing the quality of the data collected and the level of collaboration among group members. Following this, the reflection stage provides an opportunity for both the researcher and students to evaluate the effectiveness of the project implementation. Through online discussions, participants share their experiences and insights, identifying areas for improvement and discussing potential solutions to overcome challenges faced during the initial phase of the project.

Moving into the second cycle, the focus shifts to the development of ESP materials based on the findings of the needs analyses conducted in the first cycle. Students work collaboratively within their groups to create materials that address the specific language needs of their target audience. Each group is tasked with producing ten units of ESP materials, with individual members responsible for developing two units each. Feedback provided by the researcher helps guide students in refining their materials, addressing common mistakes and improving overall quality. However, challenges emerge in effectively addressing individual group needs, as generic feedback may not fully address the unique challenges faced by each group.

In the third cycle, efforts are made to address these challenges by providing more targeted feedback to each group based on their specific needs and areas for improvement. This direct approach aims to support students in revising their materials more effectively, leading to enhanced quality and alignment with the objectives of the project. Throughout this cycle, ongoing observations and reflections continue to inform the iterative process of

refinement and improvement, ensuring that the project outcomes meet the desired standards and objectives.

In summary, the implementation of Project-Based Learning in the ESP class unfolds through a series of iterative cycles, each focused on specific tasks and objectives. Through careful planning, action, observation, and reflection, the researcher and students work collaboratively to develop ESP materials that address the unique needs of their target audience. While challenges may arise along the way, the iterative nature of action research allows for continuous improvement and refinement, ultimately leading to more effective teaching practices and enhanced student learning outcomes.

In addition to the structured approach outlined in the previous cycles, it's essential to address specific challenges and areas for improvement that arise throughout the project's implementation. One such challenge is the equitable distribution of workload and responsibilities among group members, particularly in a remote learning environment. With students working remotely due to the pandemic situation, coordinating group activities and ensuring equal participation can be more challenging. Strategies to address this challenge may include establishing clear guidelines for task allocation, providing support and guidance to facilitate collaboration, and fostering a sense of accountability among group members.

Moreover, the feedback mechanism plays a crucial role in guiding students' revisions and improvements to their ESP materials. While providing generic feedback to the entire group can be beneficial, it may not fully address the individual needs and challenges faced by each group. To overcome this limitation, personalized feedback sessions can be conducted, allowing the researcher to address specific concerns and provide targeted guidance to each group. This approach not only ensures that students receive tailored support but also promotes a deeper understanding of the feedback provided, leading to more meaningful revisions and enhancements to the ESP materials.

Furthermore, leveraging technology effectively can enhance the collaborative learning experience in the ESP classroom. Integrating digital tools and platforms such as collaborative document editors, online forums, and video conferencing software can facilitate communication, collaboration, and knowledge sharing among students. These tools can also streamline the process of collecting and analyzing data, facilitating more efficient needs analyses and informing the development of ESP materials. Additionally, providing training and support to students in utilizing these technologies can help mitigate potential barriers and ensure smooth integration into the learning process.

As the project progresses through each cycle, ongoing reflection and evaluation are crucial for identifying successes, challenges, and areas for improvement. By engaging in regular reflection sessions, both the researcher and students can assess the effectiveness of their actions, identify lessons learned, and strategize ways to address any obstacles encountered. This reflective practice fosters a culture of continuous improvement and empowers participants to take ownership of their learning journey.

In conclusion, the implementation of Project-Based Learning in the ESP classroom is a dynamic and iterative process that requires careful planning, action, observation, and reflection. By addressing specific challenges such as workload distribution, feedback mechanisms, and technological integration, educators can create an environment conducive

to collaborative learning and meaningful student engagement. Through ongoing reflection and evaluation, participants can adapt and refine their approaches, ultimately leading to enhanced learning outcomes and a more enriching educational experience for all involved.

In this research, several key variables interact and influence each other throughout the implementation of Project-Based Learning (PBL) in the ESP class. Understanding the relationships among these variables is essential for optimizing the effectiveness of the instructional approach and achieving the desired learning outcomes.

One crucial variable is the project focus, which defines the specific objectives and goals of the PBL initiative. The project focus determines the target audience for the ESP materials and guides the development of instructional activities and materials. The relationship between the project focus and other variables, such as student engagement and learning outcomes, is pivotal, as a well-defined and relevant project focus can enhance student motivation and facilitate deeper learning experiences.

Another critical variable is student collaboration, which plays a central role in the PBL process. Collaborative learning enables students to work together to conduct needs analyses, develop ESP materials, and revise their work based on feedback. The relationship between student collaboration and project outcomes is reciprocal, as effective collaboration fosters the exchange of ideas, promotes critical thinking, and enhances the quality of ESP materials produced. Conversely, challenges in student collaboration, such as unequal participation or communication barriers, can hinder project progress and impact learning outcomes.

Feedback mechanisms represent another important variable in this research. Providing timely and constructive feedback to students is essential for guiding their revisions and improvements to ESP materials. The relationship between feedback mechanisms and project outcomes is symbiotic, as effective feedback supports student learning and facilitates iterative improvements to the ESP materials. However, the effectiveness of feedback mechanisms depends on various factors, including the clarity of feedback provided, the frequency of feedback sessions, and the alignment of feedback with project objectives.

Technological integration is also a significant variable in this research, particularly in the context of remote learning environments. Leveraging technology, such as video conferencing platforms and collaborative document editors, facilitates communication, collaboration, and knowledge sharing among students. The relationship between technological integration and project outcomes is instrumental, as technology enables students to overcome geographical barriers, access learning resources, and engage in collaborative activities effectively. However, challenges related to technological proficiency, access to technology, and digital equity may impact the relationship between technological integration and project outcomes.

Moreover, student reflection and evaluation represent critical variables in the research process. Engaging students in regular reflection sessions allows them to assess their progress, identify areas for improvement, and set goals for future learning. The relationship between student reflection and project outcomes is iterative, as reflective practices inform project iterations and contribute to continuous improvement. Additionally, the researcher's

reflection on the implementation process provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of instructional strategies, student engagement levels, and areas for refinement.

Overall, the relationships among variables such as project focus, student collaboration, feedback mechanisms, technological integration, and student reflection are interconnected and dynamic. By understanding these relationships and addressing potential challenges, educators can optimize the implementation of Project-Based Learning in the ESP classroom, fostering meaningful learning experiences and enhancing student outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The study's utilization of action research methodology presents a profound insight into the dynamic nature of educational practices, particularly in the context of implementing Project-Based Learning (PBL) within an English for Specific Purposes (ESP) class. Through the structured framework of iterative cycles encompassing planning, action, observation, and reflection, the researcher adeptly navigated the complexities inherent in educational settings, allowing for a systematic exploration and refinement of instructional strategies. This approach not only facilitated the seamless integration of PBL but also provided a platform for real-time feedback and data analysis, thereby enabling continuous improvement and adaptation to better suit the evolving needs of students.

At the heart of the study lies a meticulous delineation of each cycle's distinct phases, each serving as a crucial building block in the implementation of PBL. The initial planning phase meticulously outlined project objectives and instructional materials, setting the stage for subsequent action. The action phase, characterized by the introduction of the project to students and the conduct of needs analyses, marked the commencement of collaborative endeavors aimed at addressing the specific language needs of the target audience. This phase, facilitated through digital platforms like Zoom meetings, underscored the importance of effective communication and teamwork in driving project success.

Throughout the observation and reflection stages, the researcher and participants engaged in a process of continuous evaluation, critically assessing the efficacy of project implementation and identifying areas ripe for improvement. These reflective dialogues, conducted via online discussions, not only provided valuable insights into the challenges faced but also fostered a culture of shared learning and growth. Moreover, they served as a catalyst for refining instructional strategies and enhancing project outcomes in subsequent cycles, thus exemplifying the iterative nature of action research.

Amidst the implementation process, the study elucidated various challenges inherent in the integration of PBL, ranging from workload distribution to feedback mechanisms and technological integration. Strategies devised to address these challenges emphasized the importance of clear communication, equitable participation, and leveraging technology to facilitate collaboration. By acknowledging and actively mitigating these challenges, educators can create an inclusive learning environment conducive to meaningful engagement and deeper learning experiences.

Central to the study's findings is the pivotal role of student collaboration in driving project success. Effective collaboration not only nurtured critical thinking and problem-solving skills but also fostered a sense of ownership and accountability among students. However,

the study also highlighted the need to address challenges such as unequal participation and communication barriers, which may hinder project progress and diminish learning outcomes. Through targeted interventions and support mechanisms, educators can create an environment that fosters collaborative learning and promotes student success.

In conclusion, the study offers valuable insights into the implementation of PBL within an ESP context, underscoring the transformative potential of action research in informing and refining instructional practices. By embracing a systematic and iterative approach, educators can navigate the complexities of educational settings, foster meaningful learning experiences, and ultimately, empower students to thrive in an ever-evolving world.

REFERENCES

Basturkmen, H. (2019). ESP teacher education needs. *Language Teaching*. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/language-teaching/article/esp-teacher-education-needs/94355A07092D3EFDAD6EC5A5D52CCA85

Hairon, S. (2017). Action research in Singapore: Where are we now? *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, *3*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/S41029-017-0016-X/TABLES/1

Mačianskienė, N., & Bijeikienė, V. (2018). Fostering active learner engagement in ESP classes. *Key Issues in English for Specific Purposes …*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70214-8_13

Mahasneh, A. M. (2018). The effect of project-based learning on student teacher self-efficacy and achievement. *International Journal of Instruction*, *11*(3), 511–524. https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11335a

Moch, S. D., Vandenbark, R. T., Pehler, S.-R., & Stombaugh, A. (2016). Use of action research in nursing education. *Nurs Res Pract.*, *2016*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8749167

Seid, A., & Teklay, H. (2018). Training improved the note taking skill of nursing students in Aksum University; Northern Ethiopia: A classroom-based action research. *BMC Research Notes*, *11*(1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13104-018-3614-0/TABLES/1

Tao, J. T., & Gao, X. A. (2018). Identity constructions of ESP teachers in a Chinese university. *English for Specific Purposes*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0889490617302685